

REMARKS

In the above referenced Office Action, the Examiner divided the claims into the following groups:

Group I, Claims 82, 89, and 93-97 drawn to a method for treating a patient using a wireless marker relative to a treatment target;

Group II, Claims 98 and 102-107 drawn to a control system for use in connection with a radiation delivery system.

In addition, the Examiner requested an election of species from the following groups:

- 1 Species A shown in Figure 1
- 2 Species B shown in Figure 15

In response, the applicants elect Group II, Species A, without traverse. Based on the Applicants' review of the application, Group II, Species A includes claims 98 and 102-107. Non-elected claims 82-97 have been cancelled without prejudice to pursuing these claims in a continuation, divisional, continuation-in-part or other application.

Upon allowance of the generic claims, applicant(s) request considerations of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or which otherwise include all the limitations of the allowed generic claims.

Dated: 3 29 07

Respectfully submitted,

By _____
Susan D. Betcher

Registration No.: 43,498
PERKINS COIE LLP
P.O. Box 1247
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247
(206) 359-8000
(206) 359-7198 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant